



Sara Wickham looks at some of the downsides of oxytocin, one of the most commonly-used drugs in modern childbirth

Synthetic oxytocin: looking beyond the benefits

When thinking about the notion of drug abuse, I'm guessing that most people's minds initially conjure up images of cannabis, heroin, methamphetamine or cocaine; drugs used on the street, illegally and in ways viewed as problematic. But of course 'street' drugs have the very same pharmacological roots as drugs used in medicine. In a medical context, however, similar substances are legal and their use is controlled by professionals. They are viewed as therapeutic, and good.

I realise that most TPM readers will already be aware that the cultural context in which a drug is used changes the way it is viewed, with a degree of legitimacy being conferred where the drug is administered in a professional context. Most would probably also agree that we should look beyond the legitimacy given to substances when they are used within a professional context, because some of the substances used in childbirth are also highly problematic when looked at more closely. There is increasing concern about one particular substance used in maternity settings – synthetic oxytocin – and in this article I want to highlight some of the reasons for this concern.

Oxytocin-related research

Synthetic oxytocin can be used to induce labour, to augment labour and/or to prevent bleeding after birth. There is no doubt that it can save lives, especially when used to treat postpartum haemorrhage, but there is also no doubt that it carries risks. Oxytocin is listed as a high-alert medication by the US-based Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) (2014), which means that it has been identified as posing a significant risk of harm when used in error, and it is frequently cited in legal cases as being associated with a range of adverse outcomes (Jonsson et al 2007).

The volume of research on the adverse effects of oxytocin is increasing at such a rate that it is impossible to list all relevant studies, but even a quick glance at some of the most recent papers should illustrate the problem. For a start, the authors of a new Cochrane review of high- versus low-dose oxytocin write that, "*Whilst high doses [of oxytocin] cause contractions to occur sooner they can cause hyperstimulation or sustained contractions that can impair blood flow to the placenta and hence cause fetal distress. Further adverse effects of high total doses of oxytocin include hypotension with reflex*

While the notion of drug abuse tends to be applied more to substances used outside of medical settings, there is increased concern that synthetic oxytocin is being overused in maternity care settings. This article presents an overview of some of the issues that have been raised within this area, including the risks that have been cited by recent research, social scientists and childbirth commentators, the concerns that are being anecdotally discussed by midwives and, even more importantly, the experiences of women themselves.

tachycardia, water retention, and hyponatraemia (Rang et al 2007)" (Budden et al 2014).

In another systematic review, Begley et al (2014) found that oxytocin was associated with adverse neonatal outcome and operative birth. Elsewhere, Bernitz et al's (2014) recent research in low-risk nulliparous women without dystocia found an association between the use of oxytocin and an increased chance of instrumental vaginal birth and episiotomy. Again, these are just the most recent studies. A full review of the risks of synthetic oxytocin is beyond the scope of this article, but other oft-cited side effects include headache, nausea, vomiting, arrhythmia, bleeding, skin rashes, uterine rupture, increased risks of clotting and of urinary incontinence (Wickham 2014).

More oxytocin-induced problems

The work of researchers such as Kirsten Uvnäs Moberg (2003) expanded our knowledge of the positive effects of natural oxytocin in pregnancy, birth, breastfeeding and other areas of life, while books like that by Sarah Buckley (2005) have helped spread knowledge about how synthetic oxytocin given during labour reduces the number of oxytocin receptors and thus desensitises the woman's uterus to the effects of her own oxytocin. This unwanted side effect has many negative implications which we are only beginning to understand. Other alleged problems, often discussed by professionals but less easy to quantify in research studies are that the overuse of oxytocin as an induction agent has led to many babies accidentally being born prematurely, which then involves needless admissions to special care units.

It is also vital to look at women's experiences of synthetic oxytocin. In one study, Bergqvist et al (2012) looked at the experiences of women who had slow labour progress with their first babies, comparing those who received early oxytocin to women

who had augmentation postponed. Almost one in three women in both groups had negative and depressing memories of their birth, and operative births were associated with significantly worse childbirth experiences. The authors concluded that, "Early oxytocin augmentation for slow labour progress does not appear to be more beneficial than expectant management regarding women's perceptions of childbirth one month postpartum. Given the risks for the foetus associated with oxytocin treatment, prudent expectant management seems to be a safe and viable alternative." (Bergqvist et al 2012: 61). We need more of this kind of research.

The overuse of oxytocin as an induction agent has led to many babies accidentally being born prematurely

Reframing the picture?

I'm not saying that synthetic oxytocin is all bad. Used appropriately, it can be very useful, and of course there are situations where the benefits will be considered to outweigh the risks. But the frequency with which this drug is currently used may not be justified, and the risks and implications of its use have caught the attention of researchers in a number of fields. It is great to see attention being paid to this area, and I hope we can find ways to ensure that our emerging knowledge helps more women to make decisions about whether

and when they want this drug to be part of their birth experience. Because inappropriate drug use clearly isn't limited to that which is perceived to happen 'on the street'. ^{tpm}

Sara Wickham is an independent midwifery lecturer and consultant

References

- Begley CM, Gross MM, Dencker A et al (2014). 'Outcome measures in studies on the use of oxytocin for the treatment of delay in labour: a systematic review'. *Midwifery*, 30(9): 975-82.
- Bergqvist L, Dencker A, Taft C et al (2012). 'Women's experiences after early versus postponed oxytocin treatment of slow progress in first childbirth – a randomized controlled trial'. *Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare*, 3(2): 61-65.
- Bernitz S, Øian P, Rolland R et al (2014). 'Oxytocin and dystocia as risk factors for adverse birth outcomes: a cohort of low-risk nulliparous women'. *Midwifery*, 30(3): 364-370.
- Buckley S (2005). *Gentle birth, gentle mothering*, Brisbane: One Moon Press.
- Budden A, Chen LJY and Henry A (2014). 'High-dose versus low-dose oxytocin infusion regimens for induction of labour at term'. *Coch Data Syst Rev*, 10: CD009701. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD009701.pub2.
- ISMP (2014). *High alert medications*. Available at: www.ismp.org/tools/highalertmedications.pdf Accessed 19th November 2014.
- Jonsson M, Nordén Lindeberg S and Hanson U (2007). 'Analysis of obstetric malpractice claims with a focus on oxytocin use in labor'. *Acta Obs Gyn*, 86(3): 315-319.
- Rang DM, Dale M, Ritter JM et al (2007). 'The reproductive system'. In: Rang HP, Dale JM and Flower RJ (eds). *Rang and Dale's pharmacology*, 6th edition. Oxford: Churchill Livingstone.
- Uvnäs-Moberg K (2003). *The oxytocin factor. Tapping the hormone of calm, love and healing*, Cambridge, Mass: Da Capo Press.
- Wickham S (2014). *Inducing labour: making informed decisions*, London: AIMS.